



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688

www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Item No.: 22-044

For business meeting on July 15, 2022

Title

Trial Court Budget: Allocations from the Trial Court Trust Fund and Trial Court Allocations for 2022–23

Agenda Item Type

Action Required

Effective Date

July 15, 2022

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected

None

Date of Report

June 28, 2022

Recommended by

Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee
Hon. Jonathan Conklin, Chair

Contact

Oksana Tuk, 916-643-8027
Oksana.Tuk@jud.ca.gov

Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee
Ms. Rebecca Fleming, Vice-Chair

Executive Summary

For 2022–23, the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends the Judicial Council allocate \$2.946 billion to the trial courts, including \$2.759 billion from the Trial Court Trust Fund, which includes new funding of \$84.2 million inflationary funding, \$100.0 million equity funding, \$31.2 million new judgeship funding, \$110.0 million civil assessment backfill funding, \$137.8 million from the state General Fund for employee benefits and pretrial funding, and \$50.0 million in State Court Facilities Construction Fund for support of operation of the trial courts.

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee also recommends the Judicial Council approve the Workload Formula allocation of \$2.471 billion based on recommended methodologies as well as methodologies approved by the Judicial Council. Assuming approval of the allocations, current revenue projections, and estimated savings from 2021–22 appropriations, the Trial Court Trust Fund will end 2022–23 with a fund balance of \$98.2 million, of which approximately \$67.1 million will be unrestricted.

Recommendation

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective July 15, 2022:

1. Approve base, discretionary, and nondiscretionary program allocations in the amount of \$2.759 billion (Attachment B, columns X plus AH), which includes the following:
 - a. An allocation of \$84.2 million in inflationary funding to the trial courts as a 3.8 percent increase over each court's 2021–22 Workload Formula allocation, consistent with how the \$84.2 million was calculated;
 - b. An allocation of \$100.0 million equity funding to the trial courts bringing courts below the statewide average as close to the statewide average funding level as calculated for 2022–23, where each court is brought up to the same Workload Formula percentage;
 - c. An allocation of \$31.2 million new judgeship funding to the trial courts based on the Judicial Council-approved Workload Formula methodology, with non-sheriff security funding reduced from the funding amount prior to allocation; and
 - d. An allocation of \$110.0 million civil assessment revenue backfill based on the Judicial Council-approved Workload Formula methodology.
2. Approve \$68.8 million from the state General Fund for employee benefit cost increases associated with retirement, employee health, and retiree health benefits for the period 2010–11 through 2011–12;
3. Approve \$50.0 million in State Court Facilities Construction Fund for support of operation of the trial courts; and
4. Approve a Workload Formula allocation of \$2.471 billion based on the methodologies in 1a through 1c and as approved by the Judicial Council (Attachment C, column AE).

Recommendations 1a through 1c were presented to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee on June 1, 2022 and approved for consideration by the Judicial Council.

Recommendation 1d was presented to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee on June 28, 2022 and is described in a separate Judicial Council report, item 22-127.

Relevant Previous Council Action

Base, non-base, discretionary, and non-discretionary program allocations

Allocation of trial court funds is one of the principal responsibilities of the Judicial Council.

Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(A) requires the council to make a preliminary allocation

for the trial courts in July of each fiscal year and finalize allocations in January of each fiscal year (Link A).

Workload Formula allocation

On January 12, 2018, the council approved changes to the Workload Formula that eliminated the historical base to improve transparency, accountability, and predictability, and to simplify reporting (Link B). In addition, as identified in the December 2017 report to the council, the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) established the “[p]rinciples of WAFM [Workload-based Allocation and Funding Methodology] for 2018–19 and [b]eyond,” which included “[s]implification of reporting while maintaining transparency” (Link C).

At its meeting on March 15, 2019, the council approved increasing the base funding floor from \$750,000 to \$800,000 (Link D) and took further action at its business meeting on March 11, 2022 to increase the base funding floor to \$950,000 effective July 1, 2022 (Link E). The base funding floor is currently allocated to the two smallest courts, Alpine and Sierra, with the funding allocated through a pro rata adjustment to the allocations of all other courts that do not qualify for the base funding floor.

At its meeting on January 17, 2020, the council approved technical refinements to the Workload Formula parameters to provide clear allocation methodologies to further the goals of funding equity, minimize adverse funding impacts to trial courts, and provide clear direction on applying policy parameters (Link F).

Analysis/Rationale

The projected 2022–23 ending Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) fund balance is \$98.2 million (Attachment D, column F, row 27). Approximately \$31.2 million of this amount is either statutorily restricted or restricted by the council (Attachment D, column F, row 29). The estimated unrestricted fund balance is \$67.1 million (Attachment D, column F, row 30). The 2022–23 TCTF allocation requests totaling \$2.759 billion can be supported by the TCTF based on current revenue projections and 2021–22 projected savings.

Recommendation 1

Several allocations are required by the Budget Act (e.g., a \$50.0 million distribution from the State Court Facilities Construction Fund for court operations), are various revenue distributions required by statute, or are authorized charges for the cost of programs or cash advances.

Base, discretionary, and non-discretionary program allocations include the following:

1. Program 0140010—Judicial Council: \$3.4 million for Judicial Council staff (Attachment A, column J, line 31).
2. Program 0150010—Support for Operation of the Trial Courts:
 - a. TCTF allocation in the amount of \$2.400 billion (Attachment B, column X).

- b. New allocations include:
 - (i) Inflationary funding of \$84.2 million for trial court operations (Attachment B, column B);
 - (ii) Equity funding of \$100 million (Attachment B, column C);
 - (iii) Funding for 23 judgeships of \$31.2 million with non-sheriff security reduction (Attachment B, columns D, E, F, and G);
 - (iv) Funding for non-court interpreter benefit cost changes of \$21.7 million (Attachment B, column H); and
 - (v) Funding for civil assessment revenue backfill of \$110.0 million (Attachment B, columns I, J, and K)¹.
 - c. Support of Operation of Trial Courts in the amount of \$44.5 million (Attachment A, column J, line 32).
3. Program 0150011—Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel: An allocation of \$186.7 million for Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel (Attachment A, column J, line 33). Assumes council approval of the Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel item 22-121.
 4. Program 0150010—Pretrial Funding: An allocation of \$69.0 million for pretrial (Attachment B, column AA). Assumes council approval of the pretrial item.
 5. Program 0150037—Court Interpreters: An allocation of \$87,000 for the Court Interpreter Data Collection System (Attachment A, column J, line 34). For 2022–23, there is a technical adjustment to shift this allocation from Local Assistance to State Operations to align the budget.
 6. Program 0150095—Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts: An allocation of \$15.4 million for expenditures incurred by the Judicial Council on behalf of the trial courts (Attachment A, column J, line 35).

Recommendation 4

Approve the 2022–23 Workload Formula allocation including allocations, revenues, and adjustments in the amount of \$2.471 billion based on methodologies approved by the Judicial Council (Attachment C, column AE).

Changes to the prior year Workload Formula allocation include:

- A reduction for subordinate judicial officer (SJO) conversions totaling -\$25,000 (Attachment C, column L);

¹ The full civil assessment revenue backfill amount is \$110 million, and any civil assessment revenue collected by the courts from the reduced fee will be deposited in the state General Fund. Of the \$110 million, \$48.3 million will cover the Maintenance of Effort obligation that is already included in Attachment C, column A, and the balance of \$61.7 million will be distributed via the Workload Formula.

- An adjustment to the SJO allocation totaling -\$950,000 (Attachment C, column M);
- A change of -\$59,000 in Automated Recordkeeping and Micrographics collections from 2019–20 to 2020–21 (Attachment C, column N);
- 2022–23 non-interpreter benefits cost change totaling \$21.7 million (Attachment C, column O);
- Criminal Justice Realignment funding of \$9.2 million (Attachment C, column P);
- 2020–21 revenues collected totaling \$53.6 million (Attachment C, column Q);² and
- A 2022–23 base funding floor adjustment, which includes an increase to the funding floors for two courts to \$950,000, with all other courts sharing a -\$99,000 proportional decrease in the funding floor allocation (Attachment C, column AB). The funding floor adjustment may change based on final appropriations included in the Budget Act of 2022.

Resource Assessment Study model update and Workload Formula

For 2022–23, the Resource Assessment Study (RAS) model, which is the foundation of the Workload Formula, uses the most recent three-year average filings (2018–19, 2019–20, 2020–21).

The 2019–20 filings include the first four months of the pandemic (March–June 2020), a period when many courts had to close suddenly and retool their operations to adjust to new physical distancing requirements and modes of serving the public. Temporary emergency orders were put into place to extend statutory time frames for processing court workload while these adjustments took place.

Since many courts were unable to process filings during that period, the Workload Assessment Advisory Committee (WAAC) approved replacing the four months of pandemic-impacted data with data that is more representative of the expected trend in filings for that four-month period (Link G).

For the 2020–21 filings, the most recent year of the three-year average, no adjustments to filings were made. Modification of filings during the early onset of the pandemic was necessary because of the radical disruption in those early months. Although total filings are still down and pandemic impacts continue, court operations have adapted and stabilized. Additionally, the RAS measures high-level, long-term changes (changes in law, processes, technology advances) and is not responsive enough for short-term need. Because of these reasons, WAAC elected to not make any adjustments to 2020–21 filing counts.

Pending allocations

Items pending allocation from the Program 0150010 appropriation include the following:

² Includes all other applicable revenue sources as recommended by the Funding Methodology Subcommittee. Revenue does not reflect an allocation of funding to the trial courts but is used in the calculation of the Workload Formula.

- Under Government Code section 77203(b), a trial court may carry over unexpended funds in an amount not to exceed 3 percent of the court’s operating budget from the prior fiscal year, effective June 30, 2020. Because the courts have until July 15, 2022, to provide their preliminary 2021–22 ending fund balances, the preliminary reduction amounts related to trial court reserves above the 3 percent cap referenced in Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(A) will not be available for consideration by the TCBAC or the Judicial Branch Budget Committee (Budget Committee) prior to recommendation to the council at its July 15, 2022 business meeting. The TCBAC will consider the final allocation reductions for fund balances above the 3 percent cap prior to recommendation to the Budget Committee and the Judicial Council before January 2023.
- In 2021–22, an ongoing \$30.0 million was provided for increasing the number of court reporters in family law and civil cases as well as an ongoing \$7.0 million to cover the costs associated with increased transcript rates. An update to the funding methodology and allocation recommendation for 2022–23 is planned to be presented to the council for consideration in the fall of 2022.
- The allocation of funding, using the council-approved formula, collected through the dependency counsel collections program will be brought to the TCBAC, the Budget Committee, and the council once final 2021–22 collections are known.
- Various revenue distributions as required by statute or as authorized charges for the cost of programs or cash advances.

Potential impacts to allocations

Potential impacts to allocations include:

- Any changes to appropriations provided for in the final Budget Act of 2022;
- Final TCTF allocation amounts for 2022–23 based on available state revenues and final budget decisions. Additional modifications may be necessary based on potential changes in state revenue and budget priorities; and
- The \$10.0 million in urgent needs funding, which assumes no allocations in 2022–23. If funding is allocated in 2022–23, courts would need to replenish the funding up to what was allocated by the council from their 2023–24 base allocation (Link H).

Policy implications

These recommendations are consistent with the statutory requirement that the council make a preliminary allocation for the trial courts in July of each fiscal year.

Fiscal and Operational Impacts

If the recommendations to allocate funds are not approved, the fiscal and operational impacts to the trial courts will be significant.

Comments

Joint public comment on recommendation 1c was received from one court regarding the recommended allocation methodology for new judgeship funding and suggested that it be allocated directly to the courts receiving the judgeships. The other recommendations did not circulate for comment and received no public comment.

Alternatives considered

Recommendation 1: The recommendations are consistent with approved methodologies, past practice, or were thoroughly vetted through the committee process and deemed necessary and affordable. No other alternatives were considered.

Recommendations 1a, 1b, and 1c: Alternatives as to the order of applying new funding to the Workload Formula model were considered. Recommendation 1a was deemed first in the order of new funding as it is based on an increase of the 2021–22 Workload Formula allocations and is consistent with how inflationary funding has previously been allocated. Recommendation 1b was deemed second in order of new funding as it is consistent with how equity funding has previously been allocated. Recommendation 1c was last in the order of new funding as it is recommended to be allocated via the council-approved Workload Formula methodology and is consistent with how new judgeship funding has previously been allocated.

Recommendation 2: No alternatives were considered as the recommendation is consistent with the council-approved allocation for this funding.

Recommendation 3: No alternatives were considered as this allocation is statutorily required.

Recommendation 4: No alternatives were considered as the recommendation is consistent with the council-approved Workload Formula methodology.

Attachments and Links

1. Attachment A: Judicial Council Approved 2021–22 and Proposed 2022–23 State Operations and Local Assistance Allocations from the TCTF
2. Attachment B: 2022–23 TCTF Recommended Preliminary Allocations
3. Attachment C: 2022–23 Workload Formula Allocation
4. Attachment D: TCTF Fund Condition Statement
5. Link A: Government Code section 68502.5,
https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?sectionNum=68502.5.&lawCode=GOV
6. Link B: Judicial Council of Cal., mins. (Jan. 12, 2018), item 18-003, pp. 9–10,
<https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=M&ID=559778&GUID=3553B33A-BE03-4DF3-84E1-8196225C58DB>
7. Link C: Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Trial Court Budget: Workload-Based Allocation and Funding Methodology* (Dec. 8, 2017), p. 7,
<https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=5722980&GUID=EB419556-68BE-4685-A012-6A8D8502A126>

8. Link C: Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Trial Court Budget: Base Funding Floor Allocation* (Feb. 13, 2019),
<https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=7058011&GUID=805D0070-0C38-40C7-A8CE-F08E82D8DDD5>
9. Link D: Judicial Council of Cal. Rep., *Trial Court Budget: Base Funding Floor Allocation* (Mar. 15, 2019),
<https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=7058011&GUID=805D0070-0C38-40C7-A8CE-F08E82D8DDD5>
10. Link E: Judicial Council of Cal. Rep., *Trial Court Budget: Base Funding Floor Allocation* (Mar. 11, 2022),
<https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=10541345&GUID=95859AA1-D4C0-4EAA-B339-EE6F27359A29>
11. Link F: Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Trial Court Budget: Technical Refinement of Approved Workload Formula Methodology* (Dec. 20, 2019),
<https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=7976128&GUID=DC14BAC5-0079-4C0C-A0E6-52C7EC068BB0>; Judicial Council of Cal., mins. (Jan. 17, 2020), item 20-024, pp. 10–11,
<https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=M&ID=711572&GUID=AC46528C-6E37-406A-A1CE-B41CC33E29EB>
12. Link G: Workload Assessment Advisory Committee materials (Apr. 23, 2021),
<https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/waac-20210423-materials.pdf>
13. Link H: Judicial Branch Budget Committee report (Mar. 18, 2019),
<https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jbbc-20190318-materials.pdf>

Judicial Council of California
Approved 2021-22 and Proposed 2022-23 Allocations
State Operations and Local Assistance
Trial Court Trust Fund

#	Program Name	Program Number	Office	2021-22 Allocations			Recommended 2022-23 Allocations			\$\$ Change from 2021-22	% Change from 2021-22
				State Operations	Local Assistance	Total Approved Allocations	State Operations	Local Assistance	Total Proposed Allocations		
A	B	C	D	E	F	G (E + F)	H	I	J (H + I)	K (J - G)	L (K / G)
1	SCO Audit - Pilot program per GC 77206 (h)(4)	0150095	AS		\$ 540,000	\$ 540,000		\$ 540,000	\$ 540,000	-	0%
2	California State Auditor Audits	0150010	AS		-	-		325,000	325,000	325,000	
3	Phoenix Financial Services	0140010	BAP	80,332		80,332	86,857		86,857	6,525	8%
4	Phoenix HR Services	0140010	BAP	1,521,818		1,521,818	1,623,808		1,623,808	101,990	7%
5	Other Post Employment Benefits Valuations	0150095	BAP		600,300	600,300		122,750	122,750	(477,550)	-80%
6	Statewide Support for Collections Programs	0140010	BS	656,000		656,000	551,000		551,000	(105,000)	-16%
7	Jury	0150010	BS		14,500,000	14,500,000		14,500,000	14,500,000	-	0%
8	Elder Abuse	0150010	BS		650,000	650,000		650,000	650,000	-	0%
9	SCO Administrative Costs per GC 68085(g)	0150010	BS			-		275,000	275,000	275,000	
10	Children in Dependency Case Training	0150095	CFCC		113,000	113,000		113,000	113,000	-	0%
11	Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Pilot Program	0140010	CFCC	596,000		596,000	596,000		596,000	-	0%
12	Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Pilot Program	0150095	CFCC		18,094,937	18,094,937		12,265,725	12,265,725	(5,829,212)	-32%
13	Equal Access Fund	0140010	CFCC	246,000		246,000	246,000		246,000	-	0%
14	Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Collections	0140010	CFCC	260,000		260,000	260,000		260,000	-	0%
15	Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel	0150011	CFCC		166,700,000	166,700,000		186,700,000	186,700,000	20,000,000	12%
16	CAC Dependency Collections Reimbursement Rollover	0150010	CFCC			-			-	-	
17	Juvenile Dependency Collections Reimbursement	0150010	CFCC		331,541	331,541		1,144,748	1,144,748	813,207	245%
18	Self-Help Center	0150010	CFCC		25,300,000	25,300,000		25,300,000	25,300,000	-	0%
19	Screening Equipment Replacement	0150010	FS		2,000,000	2,000,000		2,286,000	2,286,000	286,000	14%
20	Court Interpreters Data Collections System (CIDCS)	0150037	IT		87,000	87,000	87,000		87,000	-	0%
21	Civil, Small Claims, Probate and Mental Health (V3) CMS	0150095	IT		1,656,088	1,656,088		1,680,998	1,680,998	24,910	2%
22	Data Center and Cloud Services	0150095	IT		688,803	688,803		688,803	688,803	-	0%
23	Statewide E-Filing Implementation	0140010	IT	448,793		448,793	-		-	(448,793)	-100%
24	Total Allocations			\$ 3,808,943	\$ 231,261,669	\$ 235,070,612	\$ 3,450,665	\$ 246,592,024	\$ 250,042,689	\$ 14,972,077	6.37%

Totals by Office			Office	State Operations	Local Assistance	Total Approved Allocations	State Operations	Local Assistance	Total Proposed Allocations	\$\$ Change from 2021-22	% Change from 2021-22
Legend				E	F	G (E + F)	H	I	J (H + I)	K (J - G)	L (K / G)
25	Audit Services	AS		\$ -	\$ 540,000	\$ 540,000	\$ -	\$ 865,000	\$ 865,000	\$ 325,000	60.19%
26	Branch Accounting and Procurement	BAP		1,602,150	600,300	2,202,450	1,710,665	122,750	1,833,415	(369,035)	-16.76%
27	Budget Services	BS		656,000	15,150,000	15,806,000	551,000	15,425,000	15,976,000	170,000	1.08%
28	Center for Families, Children and the Courts	CFCC		1,102,000	210,539,478	211,641,478	1,102,000	225,523,473	226,625,473	14,983,995	7.08%
29	Facility Services	FS		-	2,000,000	2,000,000	-	2,286,000	2,286,000	286,000	14.30%
30	Information Technology	IT		448,793	2,431,891	2,880,684	87,000	2,369,801	2,456,801	(423,883)	-14.71%
	Total Allocations			\$ 3,808,943	\$ 231,261,669	\$ 235,070,612	\$ 3,450,665	\$ 246,592,024	\$ 250,042,689	\$ 14,972,077	6.37%

Totals by Program		Program Number	State Operations	Local Assistance	Total Approved Allocations	State Operations	Local Assistance	Total Proposed Allocations	\$\$ Change from 2021-22	% Change from 2021-22
Legend			E	F	G (E + F)	H	I	J (H + I)	K (J - G)	L (K / G)
31	Judicial Council (Staff)	0140010	\$ 3,808,943	\$ -	\$ 3,808,943	\$ 3,363,665	\$ -	\$ 3,363,665	\$ (445,278)	-11.69%
32	Support for the Operation of the Trial Courts	0150010	-	42,781,541	42,781,541	-	44,480,748	44,480,748	1,699,207	3.97%
33	Court Appointed Dependency Counsel	0150011	-	166,700,000	166,700,000	-	186,700,000	186,700,000	20,000,000	12.00%
34	Court Interpreters	0150037	-	87,000	87,000	87,000	-	87,000	-	0.00%
35	Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts	0150095	-	21,693,128	21,693,128	-	15,411,276	15,411,276	(6,281,852)	-28.96%
	Total Allocations		\$ 3,808,943	\$ 231,261,669	\$ 235,070,612	\$ 3,450,665	\$ 246,592,024	\$ 250,042,689	\$ 14,972,077	6.37%

2022-23 Workload Formula Allocation

Court	2021-22 Ending Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) Ongoing Base Allocation	Trial Court Operations Allocation Funded from State Court Facilities Construction Fund	General Fund Employee Benefits	Total Base Allocation	2021-22 NON-BASE ADJUSTMENTS USED TO CALCULATE WORKLOAD ALLOCATION			2021-22 BASE ADJUSTMENTS USED TO CALCULATE WORKLOAD ALLOCATION		
					Automated Recordkeeping & Micrographics	2% Automation Replacement	Self-Help	Security Base Adjustment	Subordinate Judicial Officer (SJO) Adjustment	Total Workload Formula Related Adjustments
					A	B	C	D (A-C)	E	F
Alameda	79,110,019	2,104,111	3,102,046	84,316,176	93,224	424,792	1,009,970	(3,317,864)	(2,266,802)	(4,056,680)
Alpine	773,017	21,282	20,340	814,639	29	2,034	34,675	-	-	36,738
Amador	3,481,721	62,182	51,756	3,595,659	717	11,006	56,263	-	(146,296)	(78,310)
Butte	12,423,324	273,524	124,077	12,820,925	11,295	59,332	163,674	(487,716)	(408,500)	(661,915)
Calaveras	2,822,127	58,645	50,506	2,931,278	842	18,652	60,407	-	-	79,901
Colusa	2,112,697	48,701	24,773	2,186,171	311	13,708	46,905	-	-	60,924
Contra Costa	42,131,333	1,132,213	1,396,191	44,659,737	64,611	218,186	709,092	-	(844,523)	147,367
Del Norte	3,151,594	69,702	94,130	3,315,426	408	11,208	49,989	-	-	61,605
El Dorado	7,963,576	186,535	213,120	8,363,231	3,269	54,374	145,931	-	(133,917)	69,657
Fresno	50,603,652	1,211,523	3,340,363	55,155,538	59,419	181,080	629,073	-	(1,262,388)	(392,816)
Glenn	2,448,332	52,813	54,665	2,555,810	424	19,264	51,045	(10,210)	-	60,523
Humboldt	7,120,730	172,432	73,084	7,366,246	6,864	48,160	112,977	(175,189)	(155,032)	(162,219)
Imperial	9,510,810	237,510	125,539	9,873,859	8,907	67,678	145,188	(438,995)	(157,902)	(375,124)
Inyo	2,260,219	57,003	75,586	2,392,808	305	30,402	44,882	(194,877)	(31,681)	(150,969)
Kern	53,920,855	1,122,339	3,544,268	58,587,462	59,073	277,328	568,760	(68,454)	(2,039,547)	(1,202,840)
Kings	8,353,408	185,312	45,118	8,583,838	7,745	57,026	123,584	(440,497)	(229,592)	(481,734)
Lake	4,315,872	93,356	9,123	4,418,351	1,246	20,328	71,903	(205,146)	(72,161)	(183,830)
Lassen	2,432,243	65,929	7,839	2,506,011	450	20,156	51,546	(306,775)	-	(234,623)
Los Angeles	585,614,229	14,700,731	18,887,968	619,202,928	943,743	3,144,530	6,028,083	(14,923,924)	(20,112,654)	(24,920,222)
Madera	8,585,013	200,598	384,825	9,170,436	3,034	52,502	127,019	(398,201)	-	(215,646)
Marin	11,727,088	337,855	644,511	12,709,454	12,807	114,766	187,724	(10,049)	(67,262)	237,985
Mariposa	1,555,963	33,001	22,301	1,611,265	267	3,904	44,591	-	(40,903)	7,860
Mendocino	6,589,436	139,029	311,771	7,040,236	4,713	30,068	85,968	(312,531)	-	(191,782)
Merced	13,397,610	312,868	774,827	14,485,305	14,702	55,652	199,206	-	(383,412)	(113,851)
Modoc	1,142,196	26,220	31,967	1,200,383	249	6,134	39,618	(824)	-	45,177
Mono	2,050,277	43,038	85,641	2,178,956	187	12,446	41,983	(25,220)	(25,384)	4,013
Monterey	21,824,241	472,462	277,496	22,574,199	19,536	183,464	293,559	(908,310)	(385,072)	(796,824)
Napa	7,924,744	199,584	309,795	8,434,123	2,506	30,550	116,203	(308,567)	(220,549)	(379,857)
Nevada	5,763,732	139,614	95,495	5,998,841	4,564	49,946	91,807	(452,517)	(385,274)	(691,474)
Orange	144,153,230	3,891,207	6,929,920	154,974,357	227,468	923,882	1,915,141	(2,854,158)	(4,119,960)	(3,907,627)
Placer	18,856,724	410,174	634,796	19,901,694	23,192	77,378	266,252	-	(1,074,293)	(707,471)
Plumas	1,645,067	36,529	14,929	1,696,525	302	9,206	45,284	-	-	54,792
Riverside	111,922,684	2,296,005	923,656	115,142,345	55,702	532,226	1,458,505	(2,016,574)	(3,612,829)	(3,582,970)
Sacramento	87,477,229	2,090,813	3,560,591	93,128,633	114,659	340,254	937,891	(1,946,524)	(2,190,917)	(2,744,638)
San Benito	4,054,933	70,059	34,642	4,159,634	1,103	14,700	69,472	-	(47,767)	37,507
San Bernardino	113,857,523	2,569,673	1,264,732	117,691,928	150,574	435,474	1,311,982	(3,413,416)	(3,825,306)	(5,340,693)
San Diego	152,403,908	3,882,649	2,853,598	159,140,155	209,558	718,442	1,992,172	(686,131)	(4,424,847)	(2,190,807)
San Francisco	52,479,408	1,531,727	5,487,134	59,498,269	63,134	272,528	554,282	-	(508,436)	381,508
San Joaquin	39,689,963	859,541	1,245,356	41,794,860	49,517	201,698	483,455	(300,418)	(1,192,546)	(758,294)
San Luis Obispo	15,425,288	376,713	298,957	16,100,958	15,661	130,020	197,513	(252,318)	(477,668)	(386,792)
San Mateo	38,223,422	932,577	2,411,112	41,567,111	12,950	329,518	487,187	(462,551)	(1,244,585)	(877,481)
Santa Barbara	23,830,943	569,017	1,597,661	25,997,621	24,208	162,858	299,425	(1,101,574)	(631,892)	(1,246,975)
Santa Clara	80,605,747	2,129,236	2,309,466	85,044,449	95,376	452,782	1,180,269	-	(973,644)	754,783
Santa Cruz	14,143,208	321,970	203,558	14,668,736	11,768	113,210	194,628	-	(256,008)	63,598
Shasta	16,147,114	337,674	262,221	16,747,009	3,601	44,394	138,439	(2,749,839)	(248,276)	(2,811,681)
Sierra	763,490	21,571	9,616	794,677	28	1,830	35,878	-	-	37,736
Siskiyou	3,297,261	85,800	91,038	3,474,099	743	37,000	60,087	-	(212,921)	(115,090)
Solano	24,730,800	559,362	353,778	25,643,940	30,936	119,364	291,897	(454,573)	(686,914)	(699,290)
Sonoma	24,964,077	643,923	1,172,049	26,780,049	28,375	119,004	326,183	(459,375)	(630,280)	(616,093)
Stanislaus	26,050,814	540,457	1,305,229	27,896,500	33,273	88,718	360,402	(9,737)	(605,969)	(133,312)
Sutter	6,205,878	127,407	159,761	6,493,046	1,837	37,382	91,672	(257,951)	-	(127,060)
Tehama	4,839,530	98,606	108,184	5,046,320	1,238	28,100	71,778	-	(2,619)	98,498
Trinity	2,292,802	47,850	53,679	2,394,331	654	7,648	41,977	(537,593)	-	(487,313)
Tulare	23,757,006	457,506	33,744	24,248,256	24,299	204,932	314,070	(16,262)	(594,066)	(67,027)
Tuolumne	4,305,943	85,983	50,352	4,442,278	972	16,642	66,058	(230,226)	(36,107)	(182,661)
Ventura	38,852,391	914,809	968,752	40,735,952	49,353	205,304	533,382	(1,627,814)	(821,109)	(1,660,885)
Yolo	12,884,809	245,500	210,076	13,340,385	9,363	48,556	163,904	(608,557)	(287,338)	(674,071)
Yuba	5,432,339	105,550	90,867	5,628,756	1,597	15,788	79,190	(138,407)	-	(41,832)
Unallocated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	2,054,403,589	50,000,000	68,818,575	2,173,222,164	2,566,892	10,907,514	25,300,000	(43,109,863)	(58,075,147)	(62,410,604)

¹ Revenue does not reflect an allocation of funding to the trial courts, but is used in the calculation of the Workload Formula allocation.

2022-23 Workload Formula Allocation

Court	WORKLOAD ALLOCATION ADJUSTMENTS					WORKLOAD FORMULA	
	2022-23 Workload Funding Floor Adjustment				2022-23 Final Workload Allocation	2022-23 Workload Formula	Workload Formula Percentage
	Applied Funding Floor	Floor Allocation Adjustment	Percentage Share of Reduction	Reduction Allocation			
	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE (W+AB+AD)	AF	AG (AE/AF)
Alameda			3.58%	(3,542)	88,428,121	88,721,292	99.7%
Alpine	950,000	56,737	-	-	950,000	449,772	211.2%
Amador			0.16%	(159)	3,977,297	3,977,456	100.0%
Butte			0.54%	(537)	13,410,373	14,791,477	90.7%
Calaveras			0.13%	(132)	3,287,713	3,287,845	100.0%
Colusa			0.10%	(95)	2,369,916	2,241,285	105.7%
Contra Costa			2.10%	(2,080)	51,913,765	57,057,062	91.0%
Del Norte			0.15%	(149)	3,721,741	3,721,891	100.0%
El Dorado			0.38%	(377)	9,404,309	10,356,971	90.8%
Fresno			2.60%	(2,567)	64,077,386	70,425,780	91.0%
Glenn			0.12%	(117)	2,913,222	2,913,338	100.0%
Humboldt			0.34%	(337)	8,405,177	9,091,694	92.4%
Imperial			0.41%	(406)	10,127,636	9,180,484	110.3%
Inyo			0.10%	(99)	2,464,602	2,257,443	109.2%
Kern			2.63%	(2,598)	64,863,139	71,289,380	91.0%
Kings			0.43%	(430)	10,729,090	11,792,062	91.0%
Lake			0.20%	(199)	4,973,569	5,466,319	91.0%
Lassen			0.10%	(100)	2,505,447	2,332,455	107.4%
Los Angeles			29.39%	(29,073)	725,737,009	797,638,572	91.0%
Madera			0.49%	(482)	12,042,492	13,235,588	91.0%
Marin			0.57%	(565)	14,107,438	15,087,150	93.5%
Mariposa			0.07%	(73)	1,809,864	1,809,937	100.0%
Mendocino			0.31%	(306)	7,650,910	7,651,217	100.0%
Merced			0.67%	(665)	16,606,878	18,252,186	91.0%
Modoc			0.05%	(53)	1,328,965	1,284,287	103.5%
Mono			0.10%	(94)	2,346,825	2,037,226	115.2%
Monterey			1.03%	(1,015)	25,333,968	27,843,901	91.0%
Napa			0.38%	(373)	9,313,261	9,979,950	93.3%
Nevada			0.27%	(263)	6,557,026	7,206,655	91.0%
Orange			7.46%	(7,382)	184,275,447	202,532,326	91.0%
Placer			0.98%	(969)	24,199,675	26,597,230	91.0%
Plumas			0.07%	(74)	1,851,510	1,663,727	111.3%
Riverside			5.39%	(5,330)	133,058,980	146,241,646	91.0%
Sacramento			4.28%	(4,238)	105,779,058	116,258,997	91.0%
San Benito			0.19%	(187)	4,662,251	4,662,438	100.0%
San Bernardino			5.64%	(5,579)	139,256,773	153,053,479	91.0%
San Diego			6.88%	(6,809)	169,972,330	184,697,021	92.0%
San Francisco			2.58%	(2,550)	63,648,431	56,836,452	112.0%
San Joaquin			2.04%	(2,015)	50,294,813	55,277,714	91.0%
San Luis Obispo			0.74%	(728)	18,173,013	19,973,484	91.0%
San Mateo			1.78%	(1,762)	43,973,166	47,815,932	92.0%
Santa Barbara			1.07%	(1,060)	26,471,746	28,186,948	93.9%
Santa Clara			3.71%	(3,668)	91,565,262	100,038,958	91.5%
Santa Cruz			0.66%	(655)	16,360,281	17,999,527	90.9%
Shasta			0.63%	(625)	15,598,180	17,175,140	90.8%
Sierra	950,000	42,168	-	-	950,000	392,700	241.9%
Siskiyou			0.17%	(170)	4,232,267	4,651,574	91.0%
Solano			1.14%	(1,131)	28,242,066	31,142,713	90.7%
Sonoma			1.21%	(1,201)	29,981,859	32,952,277	91.0%
Stanislaus			1.26%	(1,243)	31,028,622	34,109,559	91.0%
Sutter			0.32%	(317)	7,906,760	8,690,114	91.0%
Tehama			0.23%	(231)	5,771,135	6,362,648	90.7%
Trinity			0.08%	(83)	2,063,314	1,926,810	107.1%
Tulare			1.25%	(1,239)	30,920,268	33,983,658	91.0%
Tuolumne			0.19%	(192)	4,785,485	5,065,303	94.5%
Ventura			1.75%	(1,735)	43,304,972	47,700,002	90.8%
Yolo			0.61%	(606)	15,135,973	16,635,553	91.0%
Yuba			0.24%	(240)	5,992,602	5,301,565	113.0%
Unallocated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	1,900,000	98,905	100.00%	(98,905)	2,470,813,377	2,679,306,170	92.2%

¹ Revenue does not reflect an allocation of funding to the trail courts, but is used in the calculation of the Workload Formula allocation.

Trial Court Trust Fund Fund Condition Statement June 2022						
	Description	YEAR END FINANCIAL STATEMENTS			ESTIMATES	
		2018-19 (Financial Statements)	2019-20 (Financial Statements)	2020-21 (Financial Statements)	2021-22	2022-23
#	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	Beginning Fund Balance	60,478,281	71,630,938	84,663,432	162,032,593	118,958,000
2	Prior-Year Adjustments	7,380,390	(17,503,309)	21,449,000	1,407	-
3	TOTAL REVENUES AND TRANSFERS	1,314,999,921	1,332,994,770	1,200,868,158	1,101,138,000	1,132,657,000
4	<i>Total Revenues¹</i>	<i>1,295,031,921</i>	<i>1,213,958,770</i>	<i>1,182,553,158</i>	<i>1,181,661,000</i>	<i>1,113,180,000</i>
5	Transfers/Charges/Reimbursements					
6	General Fund Loan - Statewide E-Filing	491,000		(1,162,000)		
7	Reduction Offset Transfers	6,080,000	119,036,000	19,477,000	(80,523,000)	19,477,000
8	<i>FISCAL Assessment</i>		<i>(359,000)</i>			
9	Net Other Transfers/Charges/Reimbursements	13,397,000	13,315,000	13,397,000	13,397,000	13,397,000
10	Total Resources	1,382,858,593	1,387,122,399	1,306,980,590	1,263,172,000	1,251,615,000
11	EXPENDITURES/ENCUMBRANCES/ALLOCATIONS					
12	Program 0140010 - Judicial Council (Staff)	3,446,535	3,346,279	3,688,354	4,627,000	3,451,000
13	Program 0150010 - Support for Operation of the Trial Courts	1,990,037,604	2,073,267,359	1,966,753,144	2,202,105,000	2,563,687,272
14	Program 0150011 - Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel	134,062,223	156,700,000	156,525,184	166,700,000	186,700,000
15	Program 0150019 - Compensation of Superior Court Judges	373,931,033	423,408,027	380,761,790	400,267,000	422,654,000
16	Program 0150028 - Assigned Judges	22,372,129	14,773,788	14,218,450	53,275,000	30,505,000
17	Program 0150037 - Court Interpreters	112,773,052	134,228,000	110,584,015	162,145,000	135,502,000
18	Program 0150075 - Grants	9,003,519	10,328,980	10,328,980	10,529,000	24,847,000
19	Program 0150095 - Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts	8,950,559	9,139,535	12,703,251	21,952,000	21,952,000
20	Total Local Assistance	2,651,130,120	2,821,845,689	2,652,100,000	3,016,973,000	3,385,847,272
21	<i>FISCAL Assessment</i>		<i>174,000</i>	<i>174,000</i>	<i>174,000</i>	<i>174,000</i>
22	<i>Pro Rata/State Ops</i>	<i>176,000</i>	<i>66,000</i>	<i>209,643</i>	<i>210,000</i>	<i>185,000</i>
23	<i>Supplemental Pension Payments</i>	<i>98,000</i>	<i>76,000</i>	<i>76,000</i>	<i>76,000</i>	<i>76,000</i>
24	Total Expenditures (includes State Ops and LA)	2,654,576,655	2,825,191,967	2,655,788,354	3,021,600,000	3,389,298,272
25	<i>Less Funding Provided by General Fund:</i>	<i>1,343,623,000</i>	<i>1,523,049,000</i>	<i>1,511,300,000</i>	<i>1,877,846,000</i>	<i>2,236,343,000</i>
26	Total Expenditures and Expenditure Adjustments	1,311,227,655	1,302,458,967	1,144,947,997	1,144,214,000	1,153,390,272
27	Ending Fund Balance²	71,630,938	84,663,432	162,032,593	118,958,000	98,224,728
28	Restricted Funds					
29	Total Restricted/Reserved Funds	33,808,846	27,720,455	48,473,051	39,429,613	31,166,084
30	Ending Unrestricted Fund Balance	37,822,092	56,942,977	113,559,542	79,528,387	67,058,644

¹ Revenues reflect current projections as of February 2022 actuals.

² 2019-20 Fund Balance includes \$100M loan from the Immediate and Critical Needs Account that was paid back in 2021-22.